

Stephanie Kost

From: Ben Ferrell [ben@bmcadv.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 3:45 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Ben Ferrell
President
BMC Advertising
2419 E. Skelly Drive
Tulsa, OK 74105

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ben Ferrell
918-743-4600
President
BMC Advertising

Stephanie Kost

From: Beckie Cox [bacox@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:38 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Beckie Cox
PHR
4550 Mountain View Drive
Dublin, VA 24084

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Beckie Cox
PHR

Stephanie Kost

From: Beckie Cox [bacox@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:38 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Beckie Cox
PHR
4550 Mountain View Drive
Dublin, VA 24084

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Beckie Cox
PHR

Stephanie Kost

From: Beckie Cox [bacox@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:38 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Beckie Cox
PHR
4550 Mountain View Drive
Dublin, VA 24084

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Beckie Cox
PHR

Stephanie Kost

From: Beckie Cox [bacox@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:38 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Beckie Cox
PHR
4550 Mountain View Drive
Dublin, VA 24084

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Beckie Cox
PHR

Stephanie Kost

From: Becky Addington [bjbrooks03@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:29 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Becky Addington
511 Glyn Ellen Dr.
Union City, Indiana 47390

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Becky Addington

Stephanie Kost

From: Becky Addington [bjbrooks03@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:29 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Becky Addington
511 Glyn Ellen Dr.
Union City, Indiana 47390

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Becky Addington

Stephanie Kost

From: Becky Addington [bjbrooks03@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:29 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Becky Addington
511 Glyn Ellen Dr.
Union City, Indiana 47390

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Becky Addington

Stephanie Kost

From: Becky Addington [bjbrooks03@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:29 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Becky Addington
511 Glyn Ellen Dr.
Union City, Indiana 47390

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Becky Addington

Stephanie Kost

From: Becky Addington [bjbrooks03@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:29 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Becky Addington
511 Glyn Ellen Dr.
Union City, Indiana 47390

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Becky Addington

Stephanie Kost

From: Ben Ferrell [ben@bmcadv.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 3:45 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Ben Ferrell
President
BMC Advertising
2419 E. Skelly Drive
Tulsa, OK 74105

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Ben Ferrell
918-743-4600
President
BMC Advertising

Stephanie Kost

From: Anthony Dennis [tonydennis@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 7:15 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anthony Dennis
475 Madison Ave
Warminster, PA 18974

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony L. Dennis
215-442-0998

Stephanie Kost

From: Anthony Dennis [tonydennis@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 7:15 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anthony Dennis
475 Madison Ave
Warminster, PA 18974

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony L. Dennis
215-442-0998

Stephanie Kost

From: Anthony Dennis [tonydennis@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 7:15 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anthony Dennis
475 Madison Ave
Warminster, PA 18974

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony L. Dennis
215-442-0998

Stephanie Kost

From: April Bernal [aprile_b@excite.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

April Bernal
136 woodland dr
vista, ca 92083

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal
760-586-1497

Stephanie Kost

From: April Bernal [aprile_b@excite.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

April Bernal
136 woodland dr
vista, ca 92083

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal
760-586-1497

Stephanie Kost

From: April Bernal [aprile_b@excite.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

April Bernal
136 woodland dr
vista, ca 92083

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal
760-586-1497

Stephanie Kost

From: April Bernal [aprile_b@excite.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

April Bernal
136 woodland dr
vista, ca 92083

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal
760-586-1497

Stephanie Kost

From: April Bernal [aprile_b@excite.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 7:07 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

April Bernal
136 woodland dr
vista, ca 92083

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

April Bernal
760-586-1497

Stephanie Kost

From: Armando Madrigal [amadrigal00@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 6:14 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Armando Madrigal
185 Nottingham Dr.
Bolingbrook, il 60440

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Armando Madrigal

Stephanie Kost

From: Armando Madrigal [amadrigal00@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 6:14 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Armando Madrigal
185 Nottingham Dr.
Bolingbrook, il 60440

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Armando Madrigal

Stephanie Kost

From: Armando Madrigal [amadrigal00@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 6:14 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Armando Madrigal
185 Nottingham Dr.
Bolingbrook, il 60440

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Armando Madrigal

Stephanie Kost

From: Armando Madrigal [amadrigal00@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 6:14 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Armando Madrigal
185 Nottingham Dr.
Bolingbrook, il 60440

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Armando Madrigal

Stephanie Kost

From: Aurora Taylor [autaylor@stewart.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:33 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Aurora Taylor
10311 Oak Limb
Houston, TX 77065

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aurora Taylor
281-477-6457

Stephanie Kost

From: Aurora Taylor [autaylor@stewart.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:33 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Aurora Taylor
10311 Oak Limb
Houston, TX 77065

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aurora Taylor
281-477-6457

Stephanie Kost

From: Aurora Taylor [autaylor@stewart.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:33 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Aurora Taylor
10311 Oak Limb
Houston, TX 77065

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aurora Taylor
281-477-6457

Stephanie Kost

From: Aurora Taylor [autaylor@stewart.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:33 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Aurora Taylor
10311 Oak Limb
Houston, TX 77065

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Aurora Taylor
281-477-6457

Stephanie Kost

From: Barbara Betz [mrspenguin@ispsaver.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Barbara Betz
11192 Jackman
Temperance, MI 48182

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Barbara Betz

Stephanie Kost

From: Barbara Betz [mrspenguin@ispsaver.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Barbara Betz
11192 Jackman
Temperance, MI 48182

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Barbara Betz

Stephanie Kost

From: Barbara Betz [mrspenguin@ispsaver.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Barbara Betz
11192 Jackman
Temperance, MI 48182

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Barbara Betz